

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JANE DOE,

Case No. 3:24-cv-00065-MMD-CSD

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

JOSEPH LOMBARDO, in his capacity as
Governor of the State of Nevada, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Anonymous Plaintiff “Jane Doe” filed this action to challenge Nevada’s system of legalized prostitution. (ECF No. 1 (“Complaint”).) Only her damages claims against several Nevada establishments licensed to sell sexual services (“Brothel Defendants”)¹ under the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act (“TVPRA”), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1591(A)(1)-(2), 1595, remain pending. On April 4, 2025, the Court denied Plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration of the Court’s previous order (ECF No. 141) denying leave to proceed under a pseudonym. (ECF No. 166 (“April Order”).) The Court ordered Plaintiff to file a notice disclosing her identity for the public docket within 15 days from the date of the April Order if she wished to proceed with her remaining TVPRA claims. (*Id.*) The Court further warned that if Plaintiff failed to timely file such a notice, the Court would presume she did not wish to disclose her identity and would dismiss the case without prejudice. (*Id.*)

///

¹Brothel Defendants are Western Best, Inc. d/b/a Chicken Ranch (“Chicken Ranch”); Desert Rose Club, LLC (“Desert Rose Club”); Hacienda Rooming House, Inc. d/b/a Bella’s Hacienda Ranch (“Bella’s Hacienda Ranch”); Mustang Ranch Productions, LLC d/b/a Mustang Ranch Lounge, LLC (“Mustang Ranch”), and Lance Gilman, owner of Mustang Ranch, in his individual capacity (collectively, “Mustang Ranch Defendants”).

1 To date, Plaintiff has not filed a notice on the public docket disclosing her identity.²
2 Accordingly, as set out in the April Order, the Court will dismiss this case without
3 prejudice.

4 It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice as to all
5 remaining claims and Defendants.

6 It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court enter judgment in accordance with this
7 order.

8 DATED THIS 25th Day of April 2025.

9
10 

11 MIRANDA M. DU
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 _____
28 ²Defendants Chicken Ranch and Desert Rose Club each filed notices of Plaintiff's
non-compliance. (ECF Nos. 169, 170.)